October • octobre 2007 Philosophy in Review
Comptes rendus philosophiques

■ Volume XXVII, No. 5

Lisa Guenther, The Gift of the Other:	
Levinas and the Politics of Reproduction	346
Karen Houle	
Samuel Guttenplan, Objects of Metaphor Pramod K. Nayar	348
Robert Hanna, Kant, Science, and Human Nature Courtney David Fugate	350
Stephen Kellert, Helen Longino and Kenneth Waters, eds Scientific Pluralism. Minnesota Studies in the Philosophy of Science, Volume XIX	353
Ullrich Langer, ed., The Cambridge Companion to Montaigne	355
Brian Leiter and Neil Sinhababu, eds., Nietzsche and Morality Bryan Finken	357
J. J. MacIntosh, ed., Boyle on Atheism	359
S. J. McGrath, The Early Heidegger & Medieval Philosophy: Phenomenology for the Godforsaken	362
Sonia Sikka	
Kieran McGroarty, Plotinus on Eudaimonia: A Commentary on Ennead I.4	364
Claire Nouvet, Zrinka Stahuljak, and Kent Still, eds., Minima Memoria: In the Wake of Jean-François Lyotard	366
Erin O'Connell, Heraclitus and Derrida: Presocratic Deconstruction	368
Alexander R. Pruss, The Principle of Sufficient Reason: A Reassessment Dean Rickles	370
Tom Rockmore and Daniel Breazeale, eds.,	
Rights, Bodies and Recognition: New Essays on Fichte's	373
George Sher, In Praise of Blame Christian Perring	375
Tara Smith, Ayn Rand's Normative Ethics: The Virtuous Egoist	377
L. W. Sumner, The Hateful and the Obscene: Studies in the Limits of Free Expression David Elliott	380
Charles Travis, Thought's Footing: Themes in Wittgenstein's Philosophical Investigations Daniel Whiting	383
Dale Turner, This Is Not a Peace Pipe: Towards a Critical Indigenous Philosophy	386
Sandra Tomsons	500
Kenneth P. Winkler, ed., The Cambridge Companion to Berkeley	388

Tara Smith

Ayn Rand's Normative Ethics: The Virtuous Egoist. New York: Cambridge University Press 2006. Pp. 309. US\$80.00 (cloth ISBN-13: 978-0-521-86050-5); US\$25.99 (paper ISBN-13: 978-0-521-70546-2).

This is a strongly written addition to the scholarly literature on Ayn Rand's philosophy. Its first great virtue is that it connects Rand's work to recent literature in ethics. Since the 1990's there has been a surge of interest among professional philosophers in virtue ethics, eudaimonism, naturalism, and objectivity. Rand's contemporary generation in the 1950's and 1960's tended to skepticism and non-naturalism. Brian Medlin was representative, publishing in the same year as Rand's *Atlas Shrugged* the following: 'it is now pretty generally accepted by professional philosophers that ultimate ethical principles must be arbitrary' ('Ultimate Principles and Ethical Egoism'. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 35 [1957]: 111-118; 111).

Our contemporary generation of philosophers has moved decisively away from that position — here Smith cites the work of Philippa Foot, Julia Annas, Berys Gaut, and Peter Railton, and notes the many positive connections between their and Rand's work of forty years ago.

Chapters 1 and 2 alone are worth the price of Smith's book for their clear and accurate overview of the central theses of Rand's ethics. Rand is a polarizing figure among intellectuals, so this second great virtue of Smith's book should not be under-estimated given the sometimes unrecognizable portraits of Rand's philosophy circulating in popular and academic publications. As Smith writes in her conclusion, 'As long as egoism is portrayed as materialistic, hedonistic, emotion-driven, or predatory, we can readily sympathize with those looking elsewhere for guidance' (285). Smith argues convincingly and refreshingly that Rand's egoism is none of those.

The central chapters of Smith's book are structured around the major virtues in Rand's system: rationality, productiveness, honesty, integrity, independence, justice, and pride. Given the centrality of virtue ethics in the current literature, Smith distinguishes Rand's action-focused account of virtue from the character-focused accounts of Rosalind Hursthouse, Alisdair MacIntrye, Paul Woodruff, and Christina and Fred Sommers. These chapters contain several gems of insight including: Smith's account of the scope of integrity and the question of whether, for example, Hitler had integrity given that he acted consistently in following his destructive policies (185); a discussion of courage as 'integrity under fire' (192); the connection between productiveness and (non-religious) spiritual values (204); accounts of productiveness and greed (217); discussions of the connections and contrasts between Aristotle's and Rand's accounts of pride (223); a fine-grained discussion of generosity and why it is neither a virtue nor a vice (256-65); and an account of egoistic love and friendship (287).

Three nits are worth picking in Smith's generally excellent work. One involves the transition she makes (23-4) from Rand's meta-ethics to her egoism. Greater stress here on the *individuality* of human life would make the argument stronger. One can accept the naturalness, objectivity, and conditionality of values — and Smith argues those well — without grasping their individuality. Egoism especially brings with it claims about individual responsibility for producing and justice in consuming. In performing their core life activities, humans are not collective beings like bees or ants; human life is individual in both production and consumption. This is especially important in response to those philosophers who do not accept this, who argue a thorough collectivity or who accept individuality with respect to production but urge collectivity with respect to distribution and consumption. Smith is aware of this — she cites in her footnotes philosophers who resist this very step — but at this juncture she moves quickly.

A second issue arises in Smith's overall strong discussion of justice. She nicely articulates Rand's defense of justice against egalitarianism (156), justice's relationships to forgiveness and mercy (164), and the connection between justice and individual rights (170). The issue here involves the scope

of Rand's conception of justice. Smith consistently defines it as 'the application of rationality to the evaluation and treatment of other individuals' (135). The issue is: Why only others? Rand does not restrict the scope of justice to the treatment of others but defines it more broadly to be self-inclusive and even fundamentally self-oriented. In her major theoretical essay on ethics, 'The Objectivist Ethics', Rand states that 'one must never seek or grant the unearned and undeserved, neither in matter nor in spirit (which is the virtue of Justice)' (The Virtue of Selfishness, 28). This characteristic statement is inclusive of self. (Leonard Peikoff's definition of justice, which Smith quotes [136], is also inclusive.) A parallel case is honesty: According to Rand, honesty is not faking reality, neither to oneself nor to others — not only to others. To return to justice: examples of injustice to oneself include those who undercut their own self-esteem, accept un-earned guilt, delusionally inflate their self-worth, or cultivate humility. If justice involves only the evaluation and treatment of others, such cases would have no justice component.

A third issue also involves scope-of-application questions. How does the virtue of honesty apply to dealing with the deceitful? What is the nature of responsibility when one is constrained by threats of force from others? How do moral principles apply in cases of life-or-death emergencies? Here the question in the Objectivist literature is whether Rand intended the scope of moral principles to be universal (with special application to such non-standard cases), or limited to the standard cases (implying that one steps outside the realm of morality when dealing with liars, thugs, or emergency situations). The former interpretation can rely on Rand's statement in 'The Ethics of Emergencies' that one must differentiate rules of conduct in normal and emergency situations but also that '[t]his does not mean a double standard of morality' (The Virtue of Selfishness, 54). The latter interpretation can rely on Atlas Shrugged's statement that 'Force and mind are opposites: morality ends where a gun begins' (1023). Smith's discussion is informed and careful in defense of the latter, 'Morality is inapplicable,' position (97); but it is not decisive due to the relatively brief space she devotes to this complex set of issues.

Smith's book belongs in every college and university library, and on the shelves of philosophers interested in Rand's views and current trends in the ethics literature.

Stephen R.C. Hicks Rockford College